UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,851	06/21/2006	Bernard Dequenne	Q95360	8186
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/01/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			VO, HIEU T	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,851	DEQUENNE, BERNARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HIEU T. VO	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10, 15-20 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  accepted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to be described to the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/583,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 21 June 2006 has been acknowledged and placed in the file. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 5-10 and 14-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim **should refer to other claims in the alternative only and/or cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim**. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-10 and 14-20 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1-4 provides for the method of determining the rate of dilution of the lubricating oil by the fuel, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to

Application/Control Number: 10/583,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 4. Regarding claims 11-14, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "allowing the temporary sampling and the re-injection" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 11-14 recites the limitation "the lubricating oil", "the fuel", "the oil system", etc. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references in the form PTO-892 are being state of the art at the time of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

### **Contact Information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEU T. VO whose telephone number is (571)272-4854. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs., Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN K. CRONIN can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HIEU T VO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747

/HV/ August 28, 2009